1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 AT TACOMA 10 MAUDO FOFANA, 11 Case No. C09-5344BHS-KLS Plaintiff, 12 REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION TO DENY v. 13 APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN WARDEN NWDC, et al, FORMA PAUPERIS 14 Defendants. Noted for August 14, 2009 15 16 17 18 19 20 This case has been referred to Magistrate Judge Karen L. Strombom pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 21 636(b)(1) and Local MJR 3 and 4. Plaintiff has filed a civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 22 an application to proceed in forma pauperis. To file a complaint and initiate legal proceedings, plaintiff 23 must pay a filing fee of \$350.00 or file a proper application to proceed in forma pauperis. Because 24 plaintiff appears to have sufficient funds with which to pay the\$350.00 Court filing fee, the undersigned 25 recommends the Court deny his application. 26 **DISCUSSION** 27 The Court may permit indigent litigants to proceed in forma pauperis upon completion of a proper

affidavit of indigency. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). However, the Court has broad discretion in denying an

28

Page - 1

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

9 10 11

12 13 14

16

17 18 19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28 application to proceed in forma pauperis. Weller v. Dickson, 314 F.2d 598 (9th Cir. 1963), cert. denied, 375 U.S. 845 (1963). Denial of *in forma pauperis* status is not unreasonable when a litigant is able to pay the initial expenses required to commence a lawsuit. See Temple v. Ellerthorpe, 586 F.Supp. 848 (D.R.I. 1984); Braden v. Estelle, 428 F.Supp. 595 (S.D.Tex. 1977); U.S. ex rel. Irons v. Com. of Pa., 407 F.Supp. 746 (M.D.Pa. 1976); Shimabuku v. Britton, 357 F.Supp. 825 (D.Kan. 1973), aff'd, 503 F.2d 38 (10th Cir. 1974); Ward v. Werner, 61 F.R.D. 639 (M.D.Pa. 1974).

By requesting the court to proceed in forma pauperis, plaintiff, a detainee at the federal Northwest Detention Center, located in Tacoma, Washington, is asking the government to incur the Court filing fee because he allegedly is unable to afford the costs necessary to proceed with his civil rights action. In his application to proceed in forma pauperis, plaintiff indicates that during the twelve months proceeding his filing thereof, he had not received any money. The "Account Activity Ledger" plaintiff submitted with his application, though, shows that from between January 27, 2009, and June 2, 2009, he received 10 deposits for a total of \$676.00, and that as of June 23, 2009, he had an account balance of \$812.39.

Although it is not clear from what source(s) plaintiff his receiving the above funds, it is clear that he has received an average of \$135.00 a month over the five months immediately preceding the filing of his application – with no indication that such receipt will not continue in the near future – and that he has more than enough funds in his account – more than \$800.00 at this time – to pay the \$350.00 Court filing fee. Further, given the fact that a detainee's basic needs are provided for while detained at the NWDC, it certainly is not unreasonable to expect plaintiff to pay the Court filing fee from those funds.

CONCLUSION

Because it is reasonable to expect plaintiff to incur the costs to proceed with this action, the undersigned recommends the Court dismiss his complaint unless he pays the required \$350.00 filing fee within thirty (30) days of the Court's order.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("Fed. R. Civ. P.") 72(b), the parties shall have ten (10) days from service of this Report and Recommendation to file written objections thereto. See also Fed.R.Civ.P. 6. Failure to file objections will result in a waiver of those objections for purposes of appeal. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Accommodating the time limit imposed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), the Clerk is directed to set this matter for consideration on August 14,

2009, as noted in the caption.

Dated this 16th day of July, 2009.

Karen L. Strombom

United States Magistrate Judge